

Intellectual Property Litigation

PRACTICE CONTACTS

Joseph M. Callow, Jr.
TEL: (513) 579-6419

Cheryl S. Scotney
TEL: (513) 579-6942

RELATED PRACTICES

Antitrust

Appellate Law

Arbitration & Mediation

Aviation Litigation

Class Action Litigation

Commercial & Securities
Litigation

Construction Litigation

E-Discovery & Litigation
Support Services

Environmental Claims

Evolving Media & Technology
Team

False Claims Act & Qui Tam
Litigation

Financial Services Litigation

Insurance Coverage &
Litigation

Mass Tort

Mass Tort Bankruptcy

Mass Tort Settlement Trusts

Personal Injury / Wrongful
Death

Product Liability

We believe lawyers who litigate intellectual property actions have to be more than just "intellectuals." They need to be trial lawyers at heart. We are technically proficient in the federal statutory schemes governing patents, copyrights and trademarks. The injunction and provisional remedy questions involved in Copyright and Trademark actions require lawyers who can move with alacrity, and who have the experience and work ethic to do so immediately. Patent cases are often "bet the company" proceedings requiring only the best. Our skilled and experienced trial lawyers have affiliated with those admitted to the patent bar to litigate even the most complicated technical questions.

CASE STUDIES

- Cincom Systems, Inc. v. Novelis Corp.

EXPERIENCE

- **Cincom Systems, Inc. v. Novelis Corp., 581 F.3d 431 (6th Cir. Sept. 25, 2009)**
Affirming district court decision finding Novelis Corp. infringed Cincom's copyrighted materials.
- **Ethicon-Endo-Surgery, Inc. v. Hologic, Inc, et. al., Case No. No. 07-00834 (S.D. Ohio)**
Defended client against patent infringement claims involving breast biopsy surgical devices. Plaintiff was seeking \$92 million in damages. Following favorable jury verdict for client, case was resolved by the parties.
- **Stiefel Laboratories, Inc. v. Prasco, LLC, et. al., Case No. 07-CV-00781 (S.D. Ohio)**
Represented Stiefel in prosecuting claims seeking in excess of \$15 million for false advertising and unfair competition arising from Defendants' manufacturing, marketing and sale of skin care products that claimed to be interchangeable to Stiefel's own products.

NEWS

- Cheryl S. Scotney Joins Keating Muething & Klekamp's Intellectual Property Group
- KMK Wins Appellate Court Decision that Will Impact Treatment of IP Rights in Software License Agreements

EVENTS

- Where Is This Wave Taking Us? An Update on Social Media, July 20, 2010

Intellectual Property Litigation (Continued)

PUBLICATIONS

- Intellectual Property Update 2011: Protecting Business Assets, *Cincy Magazine*, June 2011
- Legal Alert: Will Your Trademark Become a Username on Facebook This Weekend?, June 12, 2009